

## Station 6 (bonus) — compare conflicting sources

Source files (both): - przyklad-korpus/poznan-1956/opracowania/opracowanie-pl-fragment.md - przyklad-korpus/poznan-1956/opracowania/study-en-excerpt.md

Paste **both** texts under the prompt (one after the other, label them “TEXT A” and “TEXT B”).

### Prompt

Below are two texts about the same events (TEXT A - Polish, TEXT B - English).

Compare them:

1. How many were killed according to each text?
2. Where does the discrepancy between the numbers come from? Quote the relevant fragments.
3. What do both texts share, and where do they differ?

Don't decide which number is "true" - show what each source says.

**What to expect:** the model catches the **57 vs 58 victims** discrepancy and points out that it comes from a different classification of deaths. This is the key lesson: **AI shows the conflict, it doesn't settle it for you.**

### Harder variant — tidy the bibliography

In TEXT A the footnotes are inconsistent (sometimes <sup>1</sup>, sometimes [2], sometimes <sup>2</sup>; sometimes parenthetical-year, sometimes a footnote), there's a typo in a surname, and data is missing (year, page, DOI). Unify all the footnotes to one style, fix the typo, and mark [MISSING: ...] where data needs to be filled in.

**Why it matters in research:** *Related Work*, systematic reviews, bibliography hygiene before sending a manuscript. Source verification always stays on your side.